

Record of proceedings dated 18.02.2021

O. P. No. 2 of 2020

M/s. Tejas India Solar Energy Private Limited Vs. TSSPDCL & TSTRANSCO

Petition filed seeking directions to the respondents to synchronize the plant and consequently grant long term open access permission.

Sri. Abhinay Reddy, Advocate representing Sri P. Vikram, Advocate for the petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee of TSSPDCL for the respondents have appeared through video conference. The counsel for the petitioner stated that the counter affidavit in the matter has not been filed as yet, as the matter involves synchronization and open access. The representative of the respondents has sought time for filing counter affidavit for three weeks. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned. The respondents shall file counter affidavit on or before 08.03.2021 duly serving the same to the counter for petitioner either through email or in physical form. The petitioner may file rejoinder, if any on or before the date of hearing duly serving the same to the respondents through email or in physical form.

Call on 15.03.2021 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

O. P. No. 3 of 2020

M/s. Rithwik Power Projects Limited Vs. TSSPDCL, its CGM and Dy. Chief
Controller of Accounts of TSPCC

Petition filed seeking declaration that the action of TSSPDCL in delay payment of bills as arbitrary and for payment of interest towards on such delayed payment bills.

Sri. Challa Gunaranjan, Advocate for the petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee of TSSPDCL for the respondents have appeared through video conference. The counsel for the petitioner stated that the matter involves the payment of bills by the DISCOM. The Commission observed that the petitioner's unit is located in Khammam District and as such, TSNPDCL should have been party instead of TSSPDCL as shown now. The counsel for petitioner has agreed to take steps for amending the title of the petition. The representative of the respondents stated that the petitioner has been informed that the payment will be made to it, if the petition is withdrawn. In response, the counsel for petitioner stated that the petitioner

had in fact given a letter for payment of the amount to TSTRANSCO, but they have not acted on the same. Therefore, he stated that he would take steps for amending the title and in case. If the matter is settled, he would report for withdrawal of the case. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 15.03.2021 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

O. P. No. 6 of 2020

M/s. Satec Envir Engineering (India) Private Limited Vs. TSSPDCL & Spl. Chief
Secretary, Energy Department

Petition filed seeking extension of SCOD and granting time for completing the project.

Sri. P. Srinivasa Rao, Advocate for the petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee of TSSPDCL for the respondents have appeared through video conference. The counsel for the petitioner stated that the matter is relating to extension of SCOD of the project. The counter affidavit in the matter, though, has been filed long back, however, the copy of the same has not been received by him till the other day. He requested for grant of time for obtaining instructions as also filing reply, if any, in the matter. Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.

Call on 15.03.2021 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

O. P. No. 10 of 2020

Hyderabad Metropolitan Water Supply & Sewerage Board Vs. TSSPDCL

Petition filed seeking revise / reduce the tariff on par with HMRL

Sri Satya Lingam, OSD (Finance & Legal) on behalf of the Managing Director of the petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee of TSSPDCL for the respondent have appeared through video conference. The representative of the petitioner stated that the Commission had already extended the relief sought in the petition by order dated 18.07.2020 in O. P. No. 18 of 2020. The petitioner had already taken steps for obtaining for subvention from the government. He stated that he has nothing more to add further to the submissions in this petition. The

representative of the respondent stated that no separate order is required in this matter. As the issue is pending consideration and a decision is to be rendered in I. A. No. 16 of 2020 in O. P. No. 18 of 2020 (suo moto petition), the present petition is directed to be tagged to the said petition and posted along with it.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

O. P. No. 13 of 2020
&
I. A. No. 12 of 2020

TSDISCOMs Vs. – Nil--

Petition filed seeking relaxation in applicability of several clauses in certain regulations during the COVID-19 period.

I. A. filed seeking permission for relaxations to the DISCOMs in the prescribed regulations till such time the GoTS restrictions on account of COVID-19 period continues.

Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee for petitioners has appeared through video conference. The representative of the petitioners stated that the petition alongwith application relate to the COVID-19 period, wherein certain relaxations to the subsisting regulations were sought by the DISCOMs in terms of the government orders. As the restrictions by the government insofar as COVID – 19 is concerned have already been lifted, nothing further survives in the matter, as the regulations have to be given effect to without any relaxations now. Accordingly, he sought closure of the matter. The Commission observed that the Hon'ble High Court had considered one of the issues and rendered a judgment which goes beyond the relaxations given by the Commission. Thus, the matter is closed.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

O. P. No. 26 of 2020

M/s. Arhyama Solar Power Private Limited Vs TSSPDCL, CGM (Revenue), SAO (Operation Circle), Sangareddy & SAO (Operation Circle), Medchal.

Petition filed seeking to punish the respondents No.1 to 4 for non-compliance of the order dated 17.07.2018 in O. P. No. 10 of 2017 passed by the Commission.

Sri. Challa Gunaranjan, Advocate for the petitioner and Sri. Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee of TSSPDCL for respondents have appeared through video

conference. The counsel for petitioner stated that the petition is filed for implementation of the order of the Commission and the Commission had also rejected the review petition filed by the respondent herein. The only issue that remains for consideration is the status of implementing the order of the Commission as the order passed by the Commission has become final. The respondent has to report to the Commission about the compliance of the order. The representative of the respondent sought time for reporting in the matter by obtaining the instructions from his office. The Commission made it clear that the respondent shall immediately implement the order and report the same. The matter is adjourned for the said purpose only.

Call on 15.03.2021 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman

O. P. No. 30 of 2020

M/s. GMR Energy Trading Limited Vs. TSPCC & TSDISCOMs

Petition filed seeking reimbursement of late payment charges for delayed payments to the petitioner.

Sri. Matrugupta Mishra, Advocate for the petitioner and Sri Mohammad Bande Ali, Law Attachee of TSSPDCL for the respondents appeared through video conference. The counsel for the petitioner stated that the respondents have made payment towards settlement of the amounts due and as such the matter may be closed. He also stated the relevant figures of the amounts that has been paid to the petitioner in full and final settlement of the amount. The representative of the respondents confirmed the same. Thus, the petition is closed.

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Member

Sd/-
Chairman